IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

FRIDAY, THE 11TH MAY 2007 / 21ST VAISAKHA 1929

WP(C).No. 14820 of 2007(L)

PETITIONER:

B. GLADSON,
SUB ENGINEER, SYSTEM OPERATIONS CIRCLE,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.T.G.RAJENDRAN
SRI.FAIZI.M.S

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **CHIEF ENGINEER,**
   KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, VYDHYUTHI BHAVAN,
   PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. **KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,**
   REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, VYDHYUTHI BHAVAN,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. **ARUN S.S.,**
   SUB ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION,
   NEYYATTINKARA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. **KIRAN R.,**
   SUB ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL SECTION,
   KARTHIKAPPALLY, ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI. K.S.ANIL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Antony Dominic, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.14820 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 11th day of May, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is a Sub Engineer and has been working in the System Operations Circle of Thiruvananthapuram since 11.6.2001. It is stated that by Ext.P1 order dated 23.4.2007 despite the fact that he had made a request to retain him in the present place, he has been transferred to Sreekandapuram Electrical Circle in Kannur District. Aggrieved by the transfer, he submitted Ext.P3 representation in which the concerned Executive Engineer stated to have recommended for his retention in the very same station. According to the petitioner, Ext.P3 representation remains unattended. Contending that the petitioner is entitled to be retained at the present place and citing cases of certain employees, who according to him are not having Malabar service, this Writ Petition has been filed seeking to quash Ext.P1 order to the extent that he is transferred.

2. Having considered the matter in its entirety, I am not persuaded to interfere with Ext.P1 transfer and even according to the petitioner, he has no vested right to be retained in the present station.

However, the fact remains that Ext.P3 representation made by the petitioner which has been recommended by his superior with an endorsement requesting for his retention in the present place, remains unattended. Therefore, Ext.P3 and its reminder, Ext.P4, require immediate attention of the first respondent.

3. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to consider and pass orders on Exts.P3 and P4 within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the first respondent for compliance.

Antony Dominic
Judge.

ess 11/5